


UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF MISSISSIPPI
JACKSON DIVISION



UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)

v.)

MORGAN LEE THOMPSON)

1:06cr116

PLEA AGREEMENT


Morgan Lee Thompson, the Defendant, and his attorney, James L. Farrior, III, have been notified that:

1. Defendants Obligations

If the Defendant waives indictment and tenders a plea of guilty to a one count criminal information to be filed in this case, charging him with the felony crime of *Conspiracy to Deprive Rights Under Color of Law*, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 241, and if he fully cooperates with federal and state law enforcement, as well as, the Criminal Section of the U.S. Department of Justice, Civil Rights Division and the United States Attorney for the Southern District of Mississippi ("Government") and with the District Attorney for the Second Circuit Court District of Mississippi ("District Attorney"), as set out in paragraphs 9 and 10.

2. Government's Obligations

- a) Thereafter, the Government will recommend that the Court: (i) accept the Defendant's plea of guilty; and (ii) inform the United States Probation Office and the Court of this Agreement, the nature and extent of Defendant's activities with respect to this case and all other activities of Defendant which the Government deems relevant to sentencing, including the nature and extent of Defendant's cooperation with the Government.
- b) The Defendant has timely notified the Government of his intent to enter a plea of guilty. If the Defendant qualifies for a decrease under U.S.S.G. § 3E1.1(a), and if the Defendant's offense level determined prior to the operation of U.S.S.G. § 3E1.1(a) is a level 16 or greater, the Government will move for an additional one-level decrease in the guidelines in accordance with U.S.S.G. § 3E1.1(b).
- c) Should the Government determine that Defendant has provided substantial assistance to law enforcement officials in an investigation or prosecution, and has fully complied with the understandings specified in this Agreement, then the government may submit a motion pursuant to United States Sentencing Guidelines ("U.S.S.G.")



§ 5K1.1 (and Title 18, United States Code, Section 3553(e), if applicable), requesting that the Court sentence Defendant in light of the factors set forth in U.S.S.G. § 5K1.1(a)(1)-(5). The determination as to whether Defendant has provided such substantial assistance shall rest solely with the Government. Should any investigation in which Defendant offers information be incomplete at the time of his sentencing, the Government may, in lieu of a downward departure at sentencing, move for a reduction in sentence pursuant to Rule 35 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure at such time as the cooperation is complete. It is understood that, even if such a motion is filed, the sentence to be imposed on Defendant remains within the sole discretion of the Court.

3. **Count of Conviction**

It is understood that, as of the date of this Plea Agreement, the Defendant and his attorney have indicated that the Defendant desires to plead guilty to Count One of the Information.

4. **Sentence**

The Defendant understands that the penalty for the offense charged in Count 1 of the Information, charging a violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 241, is a term of imprisonment not to exceed ten (10) years; a term of supervised release of not less than three (3) years; and a fine of up to \$250,000. The Defendant further understands that a term of supervised release will be imposed and that the term will be in addition to any prison sentence he receives; further, if any of the terms of supervised release are violated, the Defendant can be returned to prison for the entire term of supervised release, without credit for any time already served on the term of supervised release prior to the Defendant's violation of those conditions. It is further understood that the Court may require the Defendant to pay restitution in this matter in accordance with applicable law. The Defendant further understands that he is liable to make restitution for the full amount of the loss determined by the Court to constitute relevant conduct, which is not limited to the count of conviction.

5. **Stipulation**

The Defendant will plead guilty because he is in fact guilty of the charge contained in Count One of the Information. In pleading guilty, the Defendant admits and stipulates to the following facts that establish his guilt beyond a reasonable doubt:

Morgan Lee Thompson is a former Harrison County Sheriff's Department Corrections Officer who worked the Harrison County Adult Detention Center ("Jail"). Thompson worked at the Jail from on or about May 17, 2004, through on or about September 25, 2006. For most of that time, Thompson was assigned to the Jail's Booking area.

While he was employed at the Jail and acting under color of law, Thompson conspired with other employees at the Jail, also acting under color of law, to injure, threaten, and intimidate inmates

at the Jail by willfully using excessive and unnecessary physical force against those inmates. The conspiracy began on a date uncertain before May 17, 2004, and continued through at least August 28, 2006.

Thompson and his co-conspirators engaged in a pattern of conduct that included, but was not limited to, Thompson and other officers striking, punching, kicking, choking, and otherwise assaulting inmates, knowing that the physical force was unnecessary, unreasonable, and unjustified.

During the conspiracy, Thompson participated in over one hundred assaults against inmates. Thompson observed co-conspirators participate in over one hundred additional assaults against inmates at the Jail. Thompson also heard co-conspirators boasting about their participation in willful and intentional uses of excessive force. The physical abuse of the inmates usually occurred in the Booking area, the holding cells, the Booking shower, the "B" hallway, the exercise yard, or in the housing blocks.

In addition, Thompson conspired with other officers to conceal these instances of physical abuse of inmates. Thompson and his co-conspirators attempted to, and did in fact, conceal their abusive conduct by failing to document their excessive uses of force, and by giving false statements and writing false, vague, and misleading reports.

The conspiracy included the February 4, 2006, assault committed by officers against Jessie Lee Williams, Jr. ("Williams"), an inmate who died after his interaction with the officers. During the Williams assault, Corrections Officers Ryan Michael Teel and Regina Lynn Rhodes committed numerous physical acts that were not justified uses of force. Those acts included, but were not limited to, Teel striking, punching, choking, and otherwise assaulting Williams in the head, neck and other areas of Williams' body. The assault by Teel and Rhodes occurred while Williams was restrained and the circumstances did not justify any use of force. Thompson assisted Teel by helping to restrain Williams during part of the unjustified assault. Williams lapsed into unconsciousness shortly after those acts.

In furtherance of the conspiracy, Thompson wrote and submitted a false, misleading, and intentionally vague report concerning the Williams incident. On or about February 8, 2006, Mississippi Highway Patrol agents interviewed Thompson. During that interview, and in furtherance of the conspiracy, Thompson provided false, misleading, and intentionally vague information concerning the Williams incident. On or about June 14, 2006, Federal Bureau of Investigation agents interviewed Thompson. During that interview, and in furtherance of the conspiracy, Thompson provided false, misleading, and intentionally vague information concerning the Williams incident, as well as other acts that took place during the conspiracy.

The information contained in this Stipulation is provided solely to assist the Court in determining whether a factual basis exists for the Defendant's plea of guilty. The Stipulation does not contain every fact known to the Defendant and to the Government concerning the Defendant's and/or other's involvement in the offense conduct and other matters.

6. **Forfeitures**

None.

7. **This Plea Agreement does NOT Bind the Court**

It is further understood that the Court, in accord with the principles of Rules 11(c)(1)(B) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, is not required to accept the recommendation of the Government, but may sentence the Defendant to the maximum fine and imprisonment as provided by law, and the Government has no other obligation in regard to sentencing than as stated in paragraph 2.

8. **Determination of Sentencing Guidelines**

It is further understood that the United States Sentencing Guidelines are advisory and that the Defendant and the Defendant's attorney have discussed the fact that the Court must review the Guidelines in reaching a decision as to the appropriate sentence in this case, but the Court may impose a sentence other than that indicated by the Guidelines if the Court finds that another sentence would be more appropriate. The Defendant acknowledges that he is not relying upon anyone's calculation of a particular Guidelines range for the offense to which he is entering his plea, and recognizes that the Court will make the final determination of the sentence and that he may be sentenced up to the maximum penalties set forth above.

9. **Willingness to Cooperate**

It is further understood and specifically acknowledged by the Defendant that the consideration for the action taken by the Government herein is the Defendant's representation, by execution of this Plea Agreement and otherwise, that the Defendant both can and will carry out the terms and conditions contained herein.

10. **Terms of Cooperation**

It is further understood that full cooperation referred to in paragraph 1 includes:

- a) immediate and truthful disclosure of all matters involved in this charge to the appropriate federal and state agents;
- b) truthful and complete disclosure of other matters involving possible violations of federal law to the appropriate federal or state agency as directed by the Government;
- c) truthful testimony at any trial involving any matter arising from these charges, in federal or state court;
- d) truthful testimony before any Grand Jury or at any trial in this or any other district on any matter about which the Defendant has knowledge and which is deemed pertinent to the Government;
- e) full and truthful cooperation with the Government, with any law enforcement agency designated by the Government, and/or the District Attorney's office;

- f) attendance at all meetings at which the U.S. Attorney or the District Attorney requests his presence;
- (g) provision to the Government, upon request, of any document, record, or other tangible evidence relating to matters about which the Government, any designated law enforcement agency, and/or the District Attorney inquires of him; and
- (h) an obligation on the part of the Defendant to commit no further crimes whatsoever.

11. Limits on Further Prosecution

It is further understood that the Government and the District Attorney will seek no further criminal prosecution of the Defendant for any acts or conduct by the Defendant as of the date of this Agreement, arising out of any event covered by the Information referenced in paragraph 1, if the Defendant voluntarily, truthfully, and completely discloses all information and knowledge that the Defendant possesses. Should the Defendant not voluntarily and completely disclose, then as to that matter, the Government and/or the District Attorney is free to seek prosecution of the Defendant. This Plea Agreement does not provide any protection against prosecution for any crimes except as set forth above.

12. Breach of this Agreement

It is further understood that should the Defendant fail or refuse as to any part of this Plea Agreement or commit any other crimes, the representations by the United States or the District Attorney in paragraphs 2 and 11 above are rescinded, and the Defendant's breach shall be considered sufficient grounds for the pursuit of any prosecutions that the Government or the District Attorney has not sought as a result of this Plea Agreement, including any such prosecutions that might have been dismissed or otherwise barred by the Double Jeopardy Clause.

If the Defendant fails to fulfill his obligations under this Plea Agreement, the United States may seek release from any or all obligations under this Plea Agreement. If the Defendant fails to fulfill his obligations under this Plea Agreement, the Defendant shall assert no claim under the United States Constitution, any statute, Rule 410 of the Federal Rules of Evidence, Rule 11(e)(6) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, or any other federal rule, that the Defendant's statements pursuant to this Plea Agreement or any leads derived therefore, should be suppressed or are inadmissible. Whether the Defendant has breached any provision of this Plea Agreement, if contested by the parties, shall be determined by the Court in an appropriate proceeding at which the Defendant's disclosures and documentary evidence shall be admissible and at which the United States shall be required to establish a breach of the Plea Agreement by a preponderance of the evidence.

13. Binding Effect on this Federal District or Upon the District Attorney

It is further understood that this Plea Agreement does not bind any other state or local prosecuting authorities or any other federal district, except as otherwise provided herein; further, this agreement does not bind the Attorney General of the United States in regard to any matter, civil or

criminal, involving the tax statutes of the United States.

14. Financial Obligations

It is further understood and specifically agreed to by the Defendant that, at the time of the execution of this document or at the time his plea is entered, the Defendant will then and there pay over the special assessment of \$100.00 per count required by Title 18, United States Code, § 3013, to the Office of the United States District Court Clerk; the Defendant shall thereafter produce proof of payment to the Government or to the U.S. Probation Office. If the Defendant is adjudged to be indigent, payment of the special assessment at the time of the plea is waived, but the Defendant agrees that it may be made payable first from any funds available to the Defendant while he is incarcerated. The Defendant understands and agrees that, pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, § 3613, whatever monetary penalties are imposed by the Court will be due and payable immediately and subject to immediate enforcement by the United States as provided in § 3613. Furthermore, the Defendant agrees to provide all of his financial information to the United States and the Probation Office and, if requested, to participate in a pre-sentencing debtor's examination. If the Court imposes a schedule of payments, the Defendant understands that the schedule of payments is merely a minimum schedule of payments and not the only method, nor a limitation upon the methods, available to the United States to enforce the judgment. If the Defendant is incarcerated, the Defendant agrees to participate in the Bureau of Prisons' Inmate Financial Responsibility Program regardless of whether the Court specifically directs his participation or imposes a schedule of payments.

15. Further Crimes

It is further understood that should the Defendant commit any further crimes, this Plea Agreement shall be deemed violated and he shall be subject to prosecution for any federal or state criminal violation of which the Government has knowledge, and that any information provided by him may be used against him.

16. Waivers

The Defendant, knowing and understanding all of the matters aforesaid, including the maximum possible penalty that could be imposed, and being advised of his rights to remain silent, to a trial by jury, to subpoena witnesses on his own behalf, to confront any witnesses against him, and to appeal his conviction and sentence, in exchange for the recommendations and concessions made by the Government or the District Attorney in this Plea Agreement, hereby expressly waives the above rights and the following:

- a. The right to appeal the conviction and sentence imposed in this case, or the manner in which that sentence was imposed, on the grounds set forth in Title 18, United States Code, § 3742, on any ground whatsoever; and
- b. The right to contest the conviction and sentence or the manner in which the sentence

was imposed in any post-conviction proceeding, including but not limited to a motion brought under Title 28, United States Code, § 2255, and any type of proceeding claiming to double jeopardy or excessive penalty as a result of any forfeiture ordered or to be ordered in this case; and

- c. Any right to seek attorney's fees and/or costs under the *Hyde Amendment*, as codified at Title 18, United States Code, § 306A, and the Defendant acknowledges that the Government's position in the instant prosecution is not merely frivolous, vexations, or undertaken in bad faith; and
- d. All rights, whether asserted directly or through a representative, to request or receive any department or agency of the United States any records pertaining to the investigation or prosecution of this case, including without limitation any records that may be sought by him or his representative under the Freedom of Information Act, set forth at Title 5, United States Code, § 552, or the Privacy Act of 1974, at Title 5, United States Code, § 552a.
- e. The Defendant further acknowledges and agrees that any factual issues regarding his sentencing will be resolved by the sentencing judge under a preponderance of the evidence standard, and the Defendant waives any right to a jury determination of these sentencing issues. The Defendant further agrees that, in making its sentencing decision, the District Court may consider any relevant evidence without regard to its admissibility under the Rules of Evidence applicable at trial.

The Defendant waives these rights in exchange for the recommendation and concessions made by the Government and the District Attorney in this Plea Agreement.

17. Future Contact with the Defendant

The Defendant and his attorney acknowledge that if forfeiture, restitution, a fine, or special assessment, or any combination of these is ordered in the Defendant's case, that this will require regular contact with the Defendant during any period of incarceration, probation, and supervised release. Further, the Defendant and his attorney understand that it is essential that defense counsel contact the Financial Litigation Unit of the Government immediately after sentencing in this case to confirm in writing whether defense counsel will continue to represent the Defendant in this case and in matters involving the collection of the financial obligations imposed by the Court. If the Government does not receive any written acknowledgment from defense counsel within two weeks of entry of judgment in this case, the Government will presume that defense counsel no longer represents the Defendant and the Financial Litigation Unit will communicate directly with the Defendant regarding collection of the financial obligations imposed by the Court. The Defendant and his attorney understand and agree that such direct contact with the Defendant shall not be deemed an improper *ex parte* contact with the Defendant if defense counsel fails to notify the Government of any continued legal representation within two weeks after the date of entry of the judgment in this case.

18. Complete Agreement


It is further understood that this Plea Agreement completely reflects all promises, agreements, and conditions made by and among the Government, the District Attorney, and the Defendant.


The Defendant and his Attorney of record declare that the terms of this Plea Agreement have been:


1. READ TO OR BY HIM;
2. EXPLAINED TO HIM BY HIS ATTORNEY;
3. UNDERSTOOD BY HIM;
4. VOLUNTARILY ACCEPTED BY HIM; and
5. AGREED TO AND ACCEPTED BY HIM.


WITNESS OUR SIGNATURES, as set forth below on November 21, 2006.

FOR THE GOVERNMENT:



Dunn Lampton
United States Attorney
by: Jack Brooks Lacy, Jr.
Assistant United States Attorney
Date 21 Nov 2006



Lisa M. Krigsten
Special Litigation Counsel
Civil Rights Division, Criminal Section
Date 11-21-06


John Cotton Richmond
Trial Attorney
Civil Rights Division, Criminal Section
Date 21 Nov 2006


Cono Caranna
District Attorney
Second Circuit Court District
Date 11-21-2006

FOR THE DEFENDANT:


Morgan Lee Thompson
Defendant
Date 11/21/06


James L. Farrior III, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Date 11-21-06